COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

.

In the Matter of

4.00

NOTICE OF SOUTH CENTRAL BELL)
TELEPHONE COMPANY OF AN AD-)
JUSTMENT IN ITS INTRASTATE)
RATES AND CHARGES)

CASE NO. 8467

ORDER

On May 28, 1982, the Commission issued Staff Request No. 1B. On June 18, 1982, South Central Bell filed a response to the staff request. However, after review of the information furnished, the Commission is of the opinion and finds that South Central Bell failed to provide information adequate to satisfy Item 4 and Item 12 of Staff Request No. 1B. Therefore, the Commission will renew Staff Request No. 1B, Item 4 and Item 12, and allow South Central Bell the opportunity to further respond.

It is Ordered that South Central Bell shall file an original and nine copies of the following information with the Commission on or before August 11, 1982. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. Where a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed; for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible.

Moreover, South Central Bell shall furnish the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions concerning each area of information outlined on the following page.

Staff Request No. 1B (Renewed)

4. A summary and supporting information used in the preparation of Shaffer Exhibit No. 2, Kentucky Access Line Embedded Cost Analysis and Kentucky Access Line Current Cost Analysis. This should include a description of each cost element and supporting information necessary to analysis of the cost studies.

That is, (1) the derivation of each cost element and summary cost category should be explained in narrative detail; (2) the results should be supported by summary data and appropriate work papers, showing at least each cost category and the expense allocated to each cost category; (3) the results should show allocated cost on a wire center size or, preferably, rate group basis; and (4) the results should show the proportion of local inter-wire center trunking, local dial switching, loop, and drop allocated cost that is traffic sensitive and non-traffic.

12. An analysis of service and equipment in the Central Exchange Subscriber Services Tariff as shown in Format No. 6.

That is, information should be shown as requested in Format No. 6, or in a format as furnished in response to Item 14, or a similar format. At the minimum, the information should show USOC designation, present

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of July, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

and proposed rate, and contribution or mark-up.

Marlin n. Vok

Secretary